

A first approach to probability

- Necessary and Contingence:
 - Cannot be other way: logic/axiomatcal systems
 - Can be different: Reality
- P.S. Laplace:
 - Celestial Mechanics/Theorie analytique des probabilitees.
- Objective: Laws of randomness
 - Necessity in the contigence ☺



Full House: The Spread of Excellence from Plato to Darwin by Stephen Jay Gould

Meanings of Probability

- Relative frequency
- Beliefs:
 - Once in a life
 - Odds in gambling
 - Prediction
- Axiomatic system.
 - Subset of measure theory



Meanings of Probability

- Related words:
 - Probability
 - Likelihood
 - Chance
 - Prospect
 - Odds
 - Possibility
- Ethymologies
 - **Probable** [14th century. Directly or via French from Latin probabilis "provable, plausible," from probare (see prove).]Microsoft® Encarta®
 - **Random** [Mid-17th century. From Old French randon "impetuosity, rush" (the original sense in English), from randir "to run." Ultimately from a prehistoric Germanic base (probably also the ancestor of English run).]
 - **Chance** [13th century. Via Anglo-Norman from, ultimately, late Latin cadentia "falling," from the present participle of Latin cadere "to fall."]


Meanings of Probability

- Ethymologies
 - **Probable** [14th century. Directly or via French from Latin probabilis "provable, plausible," from probare (see prove).]Microsoft® Encarta®
 - **Random** [Mid-17th century. From Old French randon "impetuosity, rush" (the original sense in English), from randir "to run." Ultimately from a prehistoric Germanic base (probably also the ancestor of English run).]
 - **Chance** [13th century. Via Anglo-Norman from, ultimately, late Latin cadentia "falling," from the present participle of Latin cadere "to fall."]

Meanings of Probability

- Relative frequencies:
 - From the structure of the problem:
 - Die, coin, urns, etc.
$$\Pr = \frac{\text{Count of ways for a result}}{\text{Count of all possible results}}$$
 - Empirical Measures:

$$\Pr = \frac{\text{Number of times a result appears}}{\text{Count of the number of trials}}$$



Meanings of Probability

- Relative frequencies:
 - Examples:
 - From the structure of the problem:

$$\Pr(a) = \frac{\text{Count of ways for a result}}{\text{Count of all possible results}} = \frac{1}{24}$$
 - Empirical Measures:


$$\Pr(a) = \frac{\text{Number of times a result appears}}{\text{Count of the number of trials}} = \frac{1}{17}$$
 - Which is the good one?
 - Principle of insufficient reason

i	n_i	p_i
1	1	0.0075
2	1	0.0128
3	1	0.0203
4	4	0.0285
5	5	0.0313
6	7	0.0372
7	9	0.0433
8	11	0.0492
9	1	0.0550
10	2	0.0606
11	2	0.0664
12	1	0.0335
13	1	0.0225
14	1	0.0596
15	0	0.0889
16	0	0.0392
17	0	0.0008
18	0	0.0508
19	1	0.0567
20	1	0.0706
21	1	0.0334
22	0	0.0009
23	0	0.0119
24	1	0.0072
25	0	0.0164
26	1	0.0007
27	0	0.0028


Kinds of probability

- Probability of an observation
- Probability of the cause of the observation
- Probability of the estimate of the probability.

$$p^* = P(\text{white observation} | \text{composition of the urn})$$



$$P(\text{composition of the urn} | \text{white observation})$$




One of the objectives of the subject.


[Memoir on the Probability of the Causes of Events](#)
 Pierre-Simon Laplace
Statistical Science > Vol. 1, No. 3 (Aug., 1986), pp. 364-378
 Stable URL: <http://links.jstor.org/>

[Thomas Bayes's Essay Towards Solving a Problem in the Doctrine of Chances](#)
 G. A. Barnard, Thomas Bayes
Biometrika > Vol. 45, No. 3/4 (Dec., 1958), pp. 293-315
 Stable URL: <http://links.jstor.org/>

$$p^* = P(\text{white observation} | \text{composition of the urn})$$




$$P(\text{composition of the urn} | \text{white observation})$$



Meanings of 'model'

- Engineering vs. Mathematics/logic



http://humanum.arts.cuhk.edu.hk/hum/fp/Fine_Arts/Gallery/matisse/matisse.jpg

D'Alembert's mistake

- Entry in 'L'Encyclopedie': In Croix ou Pile
 - d'Alembert introduced his famous error that the probability that at least one head should appear in two consecutive tosses of a fair coin is 2/3 rather than 3/4. In addition,
- Problem: how much are the odds that one will bring heads in playing two successive tosses.



<http://www.cu.edu/math/Sources/Dalembert/index.html>

Definition of odds

- Odds in gambling
 - Is used to compare the unfavorable with the favorable possibilities

$$1:1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+1}$$

$$2:1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2+1}$$

$$a:b \rightarrow \frac{b}{a+b}$$

D'Alembert's mistake

- Reasoning:
 - For in order to take here only the case of two tosses, is it not necessary to reduce to one the two combinations which give heads on the first toss? For [as soon as heads comes one time, the game is finished](#), & the second toss counts for nothing. So there are properly only three possible combinations:
 - Therefore the odds are 2 against 1

Heads, first toss.
Tails, heads, first & second toss.
Tails, tails, first & second toss.

<http://www.cu.edu/math/Sources/Dalembert/index.html>

D'Alembert's mistake Solution

- Reasoning:

$$\Pr = \frac{\text{Count of ways for a result}}{\text{Count of all possible results}}$$

$$\Pr = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\Pr = \frac{2}{3}$$

Green Coin.	Blue Coin.
Heads.	Heads.
Tails.	Heads.
Heads.	Tails.
Tails.	Tails.

Heads, first toss.	Mistake
Heads, Second toss.	
Tails, heads, first & second toss.	
Tails, tails, first & second toss.	

How to avoid the Mistake

- When having objects of the same kind, always number or colour in order to distinguish them. Mistake


Green Coin.	Blue Coin.
Heads.	Heads.
Tails.	Heads.
Heads.	Tails.
Tails.	Tails.

Heads, first toss.	Mistake
Heads, Second toss.	
Tails, heads, first & second toss.	
Tails, tails, first & second toss.	

- Problem: A boy opens the door and you know that the family has two children, which is the probability that the boy has a sister?


Mistakes of Intuition

- Daniel and Nicolas flip a coin. If face then Daniel receives a Florin, otherwise pays it.
- Temporal evolution (10000 flips):



Mistakes of Intuition


- What happens in a very long game?
 - 1000000 flips



- Look the same!

Mistakes of Intuition


- Take into account:
 - Shape independent of the scale
 - Most of the time one winner
 - Zero crossings clustered
 - Zero crossings get sparse
 - Central limit theorem
 - (Gaussian?)



Mistakes of intuition

- Intuition corresponds to ratio.

- Convergence on ratio. $\frac{\text{favorable}}{(\text{favorable} + \text{unfavorable})} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$
- Difference gets as bigger ! $|\text{favorable} - \text{unfavorable}| \rightarrow \infty$




Mistakes of intuition

- Mathematical Correspondences

$$\Pr(H) = \frac{N_H}{N}$$

$$\left| \Pr(H) - \frac{N_H}{N} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\frac{\text{favorable}}{(\text{favorable} + \text{unfavorable})} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \quad |\text{favorable} - \text{unfavorable}| \rightarrow \infty$$



Mistakes of intuition

- Birthday problem/ Coincidences
 - 10 & 24 birthdays



Mistakes of intuition


- Birthday problem / Coincidences
 - 35 birthdays
 - Three consecutive simulations yield days with two and three birthdays.



Origin of the Black swan




- Paulo's piramidal game

- One somewhat different example concerns the publisher of a stock newsletter who sends out 64,000 letters extolling his state-of-the-art database, his inside contacts, and his sophisticated econometric models. In 32,000 of these letters he predicts a rise in the stock index for the following week, say, and in 32,000 of them he predicts a decline. Whatever happens, he sends a follow-up letter but only to those 32,000 to whom he's made a correct "prediction." To 16,000 of them he predicts a rise for the next week, and to 16,000 a decline. Again, whatever happens, he will have sent 2 consecutive correct predictions to 16,000 people. Iterating this procedure of focusing exclusively on the winnowed list of people who have received only correct predictions, he can create the illusion in them that he knows what he's talking about. After all, the 1,000 or so remaining people who have received 6 straight correct predictions (by coincidence) have a good reason to cough up the \$1,000 the newsletter publisher requests: They want to continue to receive these "oracular" pronouncements.




Origin of the Black swan

- Stock/gold index as a random game



Internet Traffic

- Sudden peaks




Willinger, W., and Pasaron, V., "Where mathematics meets the internet," Notices of the AMS, 45 (1998), 961-970.

<http://dicosse.univie.ac.at/Research/Research/Misc/ForecastingInternet.pdf>

Word frequencies



- Sudden peaks



<http://www.inference.phy.cam.ac.uk/mackay/ila/book.html>

Sum of random variables

- Example: sum of points of n dice




Law of large numbers
Information Theory

<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Dice.html>

Sum of random variables

- What happens when $n \rightarrow \infty$



$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) = 0.$$

$$P(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) = \frac{\text{var}(\bar{X})}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$$

<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/WeakLawofLargeNumbers.html>

Information Theory

- Underlying idea: An example with words

$$\Omega = \{a, b, c, \dots, z\}$$

$$P(\{the\}), P(\{an\}), P(\{house\}), P(\{boy\})$$

$$P(\{thehouseoftheboy\}), \dots, P(\{maryhadalittlelamb\})$$


$$P(\{thehouseoftheboy \dots maryhadalittlelamb\}) = \dots = P(\{TEXT OF A BOOK\}) \rightarrow 1/2^M$$

$$P(\{TRYFGHL\tilde{N}PMSWZ \dots ZZDDR\tilde{V}BJKP\}) = \dots = P(\{AEEIOUO \dots OUAAEE\}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$P(\text{function}\{ARBITRARYTEXT\}) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

Information Theory

- Underlying idea: An example with words



book1 \rightarrow 0000000001

book2 \rightarrow 0000000002

\vdots

bookN \rightarrow 9999999999

$$P(\{thehouseoftheboy \dots maryhadalittlelamb\}) = \dots = P(\{TEXT OF A BOOK\}) \rightarrow 1/2^M$$

$$P(\{TRYFGHL\tilde{N}PMSWZ \dots ZZDDR\tilde{V}BJKP\}) = \dots = P(\{AEEIOUO \dots OUAAEE\}) \rightarrow 0$$

Information Theory

- Special Branch of prob. theory.
 - Underlying idea: Given a Bernoulli trial

$$\Omega = \{H, F\}$$

$$P(\{HF\}) = P(\{FH\}) = P(\{FF\}) = P(\{HH\}) = 1/4$$


$$P(\{HFFHFF\}) = \dots = P(\{FHFFHH\}) = 1/2^6$$

$$P(\{HFF \dots HFF\}) = \dots = P(\{FHF \dots FHH\}) = 1/2^n$$

$$P(\text{function}\{HFFH \dots FFHH\}) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ ? \\ 0 \end{cases}$$


Information Theory

- Special Branch of prob. Theory.
 - Underlying idea: Given a Bernoulli trial




Information Theory

- Special Branch of prob. Theory.
 - Underlying idea: Given a Bernoulli trial




Application

- Coding/cryptography
 - How to use probabilities for compression?
 - Code and encrypt or encrypt and code?




One of the objectives of the subject

- Learn tools for making the transition:




One of the objectives of the subject

- Learn how to read Prob.Density Functions :




Similarities between natural graphs

- Semantic map vs. Physical connections in internet



One of the objectives of the subject

- How to construct the mathematical expression/description of the system?



One of the objectives of the subject

- How to compute difficult probabilities?

